



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACOGNOSY AND CHEMISTRY

Content available at www.saap.org.in

Online ISSN: 2582-7723



Open Access

Review Article

CURRENT TRENDS AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DENTAL IMPLANTS: A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF TECHNOLOGIES AND FAILURES

NANDURI TRAUN VENKATA SUBBARAO*, TUMU VARUN KUMAR, B. GAYATHRI, CHANDU BABU RAO

Priyadarshini Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, 5TH Mile, Pulladigunta, Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received on: 26-02-2026

Revised on: 11-03-2026

Accepted on: 27-03-2026

Keywords: Dental Implants, Implants, Bio-compatibility, 3d printing, artificial intelligence, History, Design, shells, Implant Failure, Overcome, Failure.

ABSTRACT

This narrative review examines recent advancements in dental implantology, emphasizing innovative accoutrements, digital technologies, and case-centred approaches that ameliorate treatment issues. Implant systems have progressed from traditional titanium to biocompatible druthers similar as zirconia, titanium zirconium blends, and altar-grounded designs, enabling enhanced integration and continuity. Tooth loss is veritably a veritably common problem; thus, the use of dental implants is also a common practice. Although exploration on dental implant de signs, accoutrements and ways has increased in the once many times and is anticipated to expand in the future, there's still a lot of work involved in the use of better biomaterials, implant design, face revision and functionalization of shells to ameliorate the long-term issues of the treatment.

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Nanduri Traun Venkata
Subbarao

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-commercial 4.0 International License. Copyright © 2026 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.



INTRODUCTION

During the last decade, implantology has come a necessary part of mainstream dentistry, helping dentists to ameliorate the quality of life of large case populations. While implant treatment could frequently be a accessible volition to conventional treatment options, in certain cases, it's the treatment of the first choice for the recuperation of severe functional, anatomical or aesthetic problems arising from tooth lost. This is presumably most striking in the treatment of the oppressively atrophic beak. A couple of decades ago implant treatment was reserved for specialist dental brigades working at named universities or specialist centre who treated oppressively atrophic edentulous cases. In the 90s, suggestions for implant treatment gradationally changed from that of completely edentulous to the incompletely edentulous cases. With adding demand, this has redounded in the process of unknown exploration and development in implantology climaxing in rapid-fire technological advances and paradigm

changes in implant design, accoutrements and factors as well as relative ease of the delivery of treatment across all its stages the case assessment and treatment planning, implant placement and integration phase, the restorative treatment and the conservation phase. Tooth loss is veritably common and it can be as a result of complaint and trauma; thus, the use of dental implants to give support for relief of missing teeth has a long and multifaceted history [1].

A dental implant is a surgical component that interfaces with the bone of the jaw or skull to support a dental prosthesis such as a crown, bridge, denture, facial prosthesis or to act as an orthodontic anchor. The basis for modern dental implants is a biologic process called osseointegration, in which materials such as titanium form an intimate bond to bone. The implant fixture is first placed so that it is likely to Osseo integrate, and then a dental prosthetic is added. A variable amount of healing time is required for osseointegration before either the dental prosthetic (a tooth, bridge or den-

ture) is attached to the implant, or an abutment is placed which will hold a dental prosthetic [2].

1. IMPLANT REQUIREMENTS AND DESIGN

Since the use of dental implants has a long history, there are numerous factors that have been honoured as critical for the successful performance of the implants. One of the most important factors is biocompatibility; which not only involves comity of the material with the towel but its capability to perform a specific function. thus, this property isn't dependent just on the physical, chemical and mechanical parcels of the material, but also by the operation the material is used. In the case, the biocompatibility of accoutrements is estimated by studying the direct relations between the implant and the apkins, which is a dimension of the degree of osseointegration[8].In order to ameliorate osseointegration; thus long- term success of the implants, the following variables are critical and should be considered in the design of dental implants include memoir accoutrements composition, implant range length and figure, biomechanical factors, face characteristics, medical status of the case, bone quality and surgical fashion [3].

1.1 Biomaterials

The biomaterials used for manufacturing dental implants include essence, pottery, imitations, polymers, and combinations of these. Polymers are softer and further flexible than the other classes of biomaterials.

1.2 Implant design

A wide variety of different sizes and shapes of implants have evolved to fit current surgical generalities and ameliorate patient treatment. nonstop exploration has revealed that subtle changes in shape, length, and range of the implants could impact success rates [4].

1.3 Biomechanical factors

Dental implants are primarily anchored in bone by means of mechanical interlocking; thus, implant stability is considered to play abecedarian part in successful osseointegration. It has been set up an implant failure rate of 32 for implants with shy original stability. As mentioned over, major contributors to dental implant stability are the design parameters similar as length, periphery, figure and vestment shave important goods on biomechanical stability,

1.4 face characteristics

When a material is placed in the body, there will be a natural response that will be intermediated by the commerce of the implant through its face. Micro level features are included to conduct osseointegration or direct bone to implant contact at the micro position. [5] At the points of contact between cells and biomaterials there's an exchange of information leading to activation of specific genes and remodelling. The first step in this response involves the adsorption of specific proteins, lipids, sugar, and ions that can spark cells mechanisms to induce either acceptance or rejection of the implant by determining which and how numerous cells colonize the face.

1.5 Medical status of the Patient

In general, systemic threat factors can increase the threat of treatment failure or complications, but veritably many absolute contraindications to dental implant treatment are defined [6]. Conditions that increase the threat of failure include but aren't limited to smoking and endocrine complaint(tooth and implant loss related to vasoconstriction and towel hypoxia), osteoporosis(reduction in alveolar bone viscosity and mass due to the altered bone metabolism), microbial and vulnerable seditious factors, cardiovascular complaint, myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident, severe bleeding issues, and chemotherapy.

1.6 Bone quality

Although dental implants are a veritably common and well given fashion, failures of over to 10 are still encountered. In general, these failure rates have been associated with poor bone quality and/ or volume which leads to poor anchor age and stability of the implant.

1.7Surgical techniques

Dental implants have been used as a result for close to a partial century, and although there are numerous suggestions for interpreters in terms of procedures, there are multiple different implant systems on the request that bear the own judgment of the specialist. There are many guidelines that describe when or where to use the different types of implants available. thus, specialists are trained on the safe and successful placement and conservation of dental implants, associated pitfalls, benefits and druthers, and the capability to snappily fete and treat all of the colourful implicit complications [7].

2. CLASSIFICATION OF IMPLANTS

Classification of Implants Dental implants are classified into five categories:

- 2.1 - Depending on the placement of the tissues
- 2.2 - Depending on surface texture and cross section
- 2.3 - Depending on the materials used
- 2.4 - Depending on their reaction with bone
- 2.5 - Depending on the treatment options

2.1 - Depending on the placement of the tissues

2.1.1 - Endosteal dental implant

There are three types of Endosteal implant. There's the blade implant where thin plates are bedded into the jawbone, Ramus frame implant where a steed shoe-shaped device is fitted into the beak and root form implant that takes on the shape of a normal tooth.

2.1.2 - Subperiosteal implant

This is a type of implant where the artificial tooth is placed beneath the periosteum that overlies the cortex. Then the jaw bone isn't inescapably drilled. important of the support is handed by the epoxies and how the implant falsehoods on the bony cortex, it may be unilateral, complete or circumferential.

2.1.3 - Transosteal implant

This type of implant can, also, be appertained to as staple bone implant, mandibular chief implant or transmandibular implant. This type of implant is a com-

bination of both the Endosteal factors and those of subperiosteal. The implant penetrates the two cortical plates. It can be staple, single leg or multiple legs [8].

2.1.4 - Intramucosal dental implants

As the name hints, this type of implant is fitted directly into the oral mucosa. In this case, the mucosa is used as the point for attachment and the essence inserts, generally made from titanium.

2.1.5 - Fibrointergration implants

This type of implant is a product of recommendations by Dr. CharlseWiess. In this type of implant, the implanted tooth is fully reprised by the soft towel in the mouth.

2.2 - Depending on texture and cross section

2.2.1 - Spherical dental implants

The dental implants available come in different shapes, and spherical is one of them. The coating and face condition is what will determine whether or not to use spherical dental implants as the coating and the face give cling and bitsy retention with the bone.

2.2.2 - Threaded dental Implants

When you look at the face of the implants, you'll see vestments. This is where the name of this implant roots from. The main purpose of the threaded face is to increase the implant's face area hence furnishing a more solid contact with the jawbone.

2.2.3 - Vented dental implants

This type of implant is a cylinder that has been carpeted with hydroxy apatite. They've a perpendicular groove that connects to the apical modes. This facilitates seating, and the bone growth into the groove prevents gyration.

2.2.4 - Concave dental implants

The concave dental implants have a concave design in their apical portion. There are perforations on the implant's sides that have been arranged totally.

2.2.5 -Smooth, crafted, textured and coated face implants

The smooth face implants have a smooth face. Crafted face implants are those whose shells have been machined for better harborage. Textured face implants have a rough face for increased face area, and the carpeted face implants are those whose shells have been covered by a pervious coating, generally titanium or hydroxy apatite [9].

2.3 - Depending on the accoutrements used

2.3.1 - Metallic dental implants

These are implants that are made of essence. The most common metallic dental implants moment is those made of titanium. still, pristine sword, Vitallium and an amalgamation of cobalt chromium molybdenum can be used.

2.3.2 - Ceramic dental implants the pottery can either be carpeted or scattered on the face of the implant to produce a face that's bio active. You can also findnon-reactive ceramic material.

2.3.3 - Polymeric dental implants These implants are in the form of polytetrafluoroethylene and polymethylmethacrylate. These have, still, only been used along with implants made from other material as adjuncts

stress distribution and not used solely by themselves [10].

2.3.4 - Carbon implants These are implants made of an admixture of carbon and pristine sword. They've the same pliantness as the jawbone but are brittle and can fracture important fluently as compared to implants made from other material.

2.4 - Depending on their response with a bone

Grounded on the capability of the implant to stimulate bone conformation, implants can be classified into

2.4.1 - Bioactive implants

face variations of titanium dental implants have been studied and applied to ameliorate natural face parcels, which Favors the medium of osseointegration. Crafted implantsurfaces, representing the starting point of implant face design, were used for decades according to the classic protocols in which several months were essential to achieving osseointegration.

2.4.2 - Bio-inert implants

The term bioinert refers to any material that formerly placed in the mortal body has minimum commerce with its girding towel; exemplifications of these are pristine sword, titanium, alumina, incompletely stabilized zirconia, and ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene.

2.5 - Depending on the treatment options

Misch reported five prosthetic options of implants, of the five the first three, are fixed prosthesis that may be partial or complete reserves, which in turn may be cemented or screw retained.

3. SYNTHESIS OF DENTAL IMPLANTS

Dental implants, extensively employed in dentistry, are fabricated using three main orders of accoutrements grounded on their chemical composition essence, pottery, and polymers. Titanium essence, zirconia pottery, and Polyetheretherketone polymers enjoy expansive operation in the construction of dental implants.

3.1 conflation of titanium and its alloys

Titanium undergoes several processing ways. First, the ore is condensed into a spongy sponger form. Next, the sponger is melted to produce a rod. These beams are also converted into colourful shop products. The final products are fabricated using die forging, extrusion, cold and hot forming, machining, chemical milling, and joining. On the other hand, titanium exhibits limited mechanical parcels, including low hardness and wear resistance. still, a straight forward ray face treatment can enhance titanium's wear and tear resistance and hardness [11].

3.2 synthesis of zirconia

Zirconia, a white crystalline oxide of zirconium (ZrO_2), has been extensively employed as a biomaterial in dentistry. Its exceptional mechanical parcels, esthetics, biocompatibility, and erosion resistance make it ideal for constructing dental crowns, islands, inserts, and implants currently, wet- chemical conflation styles similar as coprecipitation, hydrothermal conflation, and sol – gel medication arebeing employed for the conflation ofzirconium nanoparticle maquilas [12].

3.2.1 Coprecipitation method:

Incorporating a pouring agent into a result comprising water-answerable zirconium mariners and yttrium as a stabilizer facilitates the conflation of nanocrystals, producing patches with varying periphery. An exceptional advantage of this system lies in its high liability of acquiring the product in gram amounts. Cheaper and more fluently accessible outfit and nano-flyspeck precursors can be employed through this system. One of the downsides of this system is its incapability to regulate the size of the nanoparticle product, which poses a challenge. Wang et al. addressed the problem of nanoparticle agglomeration by successfully employing ethanol rather of water in producing zirconium nanoparticles through direct rush.

3.2.2 Sol-gel system

Small motes are used in a chemical conflation system that operates at lower temperatures to produce solid accoutrements. The precursors experience specific chemical responses, similar as hydrolysis and condensation, leading to colloidal or polymeric results forming. These results correspond of dehydrated colloidal patches of material hydroxides, which are the foundation for transubstantiating the result into a gel — a substance with a glutinous texture. Essence alkoxides or essence chlorides are generally employed as the original accoutrements for conducting these responses. Shukla and Seal successfully employed this system to synthesize ZrNp, which could potentially impact sol-gels drying or firing geste [13].

3.2.3 Hydrothermal method

The hydrothermal system, known for its capability to synthesize inorganic accoutrements ranging from nano-size to submicron chargers, involves subjugating starting accoutrements to elevated temperature and pressure, leading to a chemical response. In this process, the metamorphosis agents responsible for applying pressure, heat, and mechanical energy are vapours or fluids, and the result used is waterless.

4. ADVANTAGES OF DENTAL IMPLANTS

4.1 - Better aesthetics

dental implants are the stylish dental procedures available in the request if aesthetics is considered. Dental implants give you natural tooth- suchlike appearance due to its point of being bedded into the alveolar bone like a natural tooth which has roots for the same purpose for aesthetics reasons.

4.2 - Better strength dental implants are known to have the stylish strength of all dental prosthesis available at the moment. Due to this superior property, dental implant can be used in the anterior region as well as the posterior region.

4.3 - Preservation of the alveolar bone

By placing dental implant, we will be conserving the alveolar bone as we fit in implant into the bone. With the help of osseointegration, the implant becomes part of the alveolar bone and prevents the decomposition of bone and loss of alveolar bow height.

5. DISADVANTAGES OF DENTAL IMPLANTS

5.1 - premium than other prosthesis dental implants are premium than any other dental prosthesis in use. The cost is high due to numerous reasons and the better quality and aesthetics it provides, but the price is always a matter of study for every case [14].

5.2 - Complex clinical procedure dental implant placement is a complex procedure compared to either islands or fixed partial dentures, these are fashion sensitive procedures which bear proper skill in opinion and deciding the treatment plan, the treatment requires multiple visits.

5.3 - Contraindicated in medically compromised cases medically compromised cases like unbridled diabetes, unbridled hypertension, colorful cardiovascular conditions, respiratory conditions, etc.

6. IMPLANT FAILURE

Clinical studies have linked the following position, grafted bone, quality and quantum of bone, as well as systemic variables similar as heritable predilection, smoking, and metabolic conditions. Mechanical issues, similar as fractures, are generally observed in engineering operations. Biomechanical overloading is believed to be the leading cause of essence fatigue. incontinently after dental implant placement, beforehand dental implant failure denotes the emergence of complications associated with the implant. An implant showing indeed the fewest degree of mobility is classified as a failed implant and a complication, indeed if it doesn't produce any symptoms.

8. FUTURE STRATEGIES

The field of implant dentistry is presto developing, with the use of new biotechnologies, AI, and sustainability-oriented exploration, all designed to enhance the life of implants, clinical performance, and case- entered treatment. Technologies that are clinically enforced, like digital workflows, computer- backed implant planning, and 3D printing, have increased surgical delicacy and excluded mortal error in regular practice [16].

9. CONCLUSION

Advancements in dental implantology driven by accoutrements wisdom, digital technology, and regenerative drug have significantly bettered implant success and life. The shift from conventional titanium implants to newer accoutrements like zirconia, Ti- Zr blends, and bioengineered pulpits has enhanced biocompatibility, osseointegration, and aesthetics. Clinically espoused tools similar as AI- guided planning, robotics, and 3D printing have bettered surgical delicacy and reduced complications.

10. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors are contributed equally.

11. FINANCIAL SUPPORT

None

12. DECLARATION COMPETING INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

13. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

14. REFERENCES

- Berge TI, Grønningsæter AG. Survival of single crystal sapphire implants supporting mandibular overdentures. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2000;11(2):154–162. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0501.2000.110208.x>
- John V, Chen S, Parashos P. Implant or the natural tooth—a contemporary treatment planning dilemma? *Aust Dent J.* 2007;52(Suppl 1):S138–S150. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2007.tb00521.x>
- Triplett RG, Frohberg U, Sykaras N, Woody RD. Implant materials, design, and surface topographies: their influence on osseointegration of dental implants. *J Long Term Eff Med Implants.* 2003;13(6):485–501. <https://doi.org/10.1615/JLongTermEffMedImplants.v13.i6.50>
- Lee JH, Frias V, Lee KW, Wright RF. Effect of implant size and shape on implant success rates: a literature review. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2005;94(4):377–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2005.04.018>
- Stanford CM. Surface modifications of dental implants. *Aust Dent J.* 2008;53(Suppl 1):S26–S33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2008.00038.x>
- Rao C. Evaluation of antiulcer activity of *Picrasma quassioides* Bennett aqueous extract in rodents. *Vedic Res Int Phytomedicine.* 2013;1:27–31. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248995990>
- Nama S, Chandu BR, Awen BZ, Khagga M. Development and validation of a new RP-HPLC method for the determination of aprepitant in solid dosage forms. *Trop J Pharm Res.* 2011;10(4):489–496. Available from: <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/tjpr/article/view/69565>
- Prasanthi G, Chandu BR, Kumar YP, Swarnalatha D, Gopinath C. Chemical pharmacology of khat leaves. *J Glob Trends Pharm Sci.* 2014;5(4):2024–2029. Available from: <http://www.jgtps.com/admin/uploads/mw7QGy.pdf>
- Silar S, Suryaprabha M, Chandu B, Nama S, Irfan P, Pathapati H, Pisipati SV. Fetal alcohol syndrome. (*Publication details not available in source provided*).
- Paranthaman V, Dhinakaran V, Sai MS, Devaraju A. A systematic review of fatigue behaviour of laser welding titanium alloys. *Mater Today Proc.* 2021;39:5203–5208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.08.249>
- Hu C, Sun J, Long C, Wu L, Zhou C, Zhang X. Synthesis of nano zirconium oxide and its application in dentistry. *Nanotechnol Rev.* 2019;8(1):396–404. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ntrev-2019-0035>
- Dara SR. An overview of the use of natural indicators in acid-base titrations. *UPI J Pharm Med Health Sci.* 2024;4(2):29–35. <https://doi.org/10.37022/jpmhs.v4i2.103>
- Hossain N, Islam MA, Chowdhury MA. Results in Chemistry. *Results Chem.* 2023;5:101136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rechem.2023.101136>
- Tolman DE, Laney WR. Tissue-integrated prosthesis complications. *Implant Dent.* 1993;2(3):202–203. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913\(03\)00212-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(03)00212-9)
- Nanjwade BK, Bechra HM, Derkar GK, Manvi FV, Nanjwade VK. Dendrimers: emerging polymers for drug delivery systems. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2009;38(3):185–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2009.07.008>